



UNITED TES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/315,200
 05/19/99
 WESCHLER
 P
 P3776

TM02/0730

WILLIAM J KUBIDA ESQ. HOGAN & HARTSON LLP 1200 17TH STREET SUITE 1500 DENVER CO 80202 PHAM, K

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2177

DATE MAILED:

07/30/01

V

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/315,200	WESCHLER, PAUL	
	omee made adminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Khanh B. Pham pears on the cover sheet with the	2177 correspondence address	
Period fo		F	,	
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period et or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely preceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing dispatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	<u>June 2001</u> .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	on of Claims			
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application	on Papers			
9)[] ٦	he specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)∏ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* · · ·	` '	
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_	oved by the Examiner.	
🚍 –	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	(
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.		
:	Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicati	on No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
14)∐ A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *		
Attachment	•			
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal I	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) · Patent Application (PTO-152)	
0.0.1	1.00			

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

2. Claims 1-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thomas (U.S Patent 5,838,970).

As per claims 1,18,41, 48 and 49, Thomas teaches "a method for managing a profile service" (Col. 1 lines 5-10) comprising:

- "storing at least one true-data attribute in a profile object, said true-data attribute includes a true-data key and at least one true-data value field" at Col.
 14 lines 5-65;
- "associating at least one meta-data attribute with said true-data attribute, said meta-data attribute includes a meta-data key and at least one meta-data value field" at Col. 3 lines 20-30;
- "wherein the meta-data value field describes the associated true-data attribute" at Col. 3 lines 32-42;
- "storing said associated meta-data attribute" at Col. 3 lines 20-30;

Art Unit: 2177

 "managing said true-data attribute according to said associated meta-data attribute" at Col. 4 lines 15-30.

As per claim 2, Thomas also teaches: "indicating in said metadata attribute an access privilege of said true-data attribute" at Col. 13 lines 55-60.

As per claim 3, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said metadata attribute an owner of said true-data attribute" at Col. 12, table 3.

As per claim 4, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said metadata attribute a group of said true-data attribute" at Col. 3 line 27.

As per claim 5, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said meta-data attribute a creation time of said true-data attribute" at Col. 10 line 40.

As per claim 6, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said meta-data attribute a update time of said true-data attribute" at Col. 10 line 40.

As per claim 7, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said meta-data attribute a expiration time of said true-data attribute" at Col. 16 lines 10-16.

As per claim 8, Thomas teaches: "identifying said true-data attribute with said expiration time beyond a profile service time and deleting said identified true-data attribute from said profile object" at Col. lines 10-16.

As per claim 9, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said meta-data attribute at least one trigger location of said true-data attribute" at Col. 9 lines 55-65.

As per claim 10, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said metadata attribute a binding flag of said true-data attribute" at Col. 11 lines 5-10.

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 11, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said metadata attribute an assurance level of said true-data attribute" at Col. 13, lines 50-55.

As per claim 12, Thomas teaches: "identifying said meta-data attribute information by a prefix field in said metadata value field" at Col. 32 Table 6.

As per claim 13, Thomas also teaches: "associating at least one profile level meatdata attribute to said profile object..." at Col. 24 table 5.

As per claim 14, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said profile level metadata attribute at least one template resource ID of said profile object" at Col. 31 lines 55-65.

As per claim 15, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said profile level metadata attribute an object class of said profile object" at Col. 32 Table 6.

As per claim 16, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said profile level metadata attribute an object ID of said profile object" at Col. 32 table 6.

As per claim 17, Thomas teaches: "indicating in said profile level metadata attribute a binding resource ID of said profile object" at Col. 32, table 6.

As per claim 19, Thomas teaches: "said true-data attribute comprises the user data" at Col.12 Table 3.

As per claim 20, Thomas teaches: "said true-data attribute comprises an external reference to the user data" at Col. 14 lines 30-35.

As per claim 21, Thomas teaches: "at least one true-data attribute binding to another one of said profile objects" at Col. 25 lines 25-50.

As per claim 22, Thomas teaches: "said metadata attribute is identified with a prefix field in said metadata value field" at Col. 32 Table 6.

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 23, Thomas teaches: "metadata key is equated with said true-data key" at Col. 12 table 3 and Col. 14 table 4.

As per claim 24, Thomas teaches: "methods within said profile objects to read and write said true-data attribute" at Col. 16 lines 40-67.

As per claim 25, Thomas teaches: "methods within said profile objects to read and write said meta-data attribute" at Col. 9 lines 40-55.

As per claim 26, Thomas teaches: "methods within the profiling service to set an owner of said true-data attribute" at Col. 13 lines 50-55.

As per claim 27, Thomas teaches: "methods within said profile objects to set an access privilege of said true-data attribute" at Col. 13 lines 55-60.

As per claim 28, Thomas teaches: "methods within said profile object to set a group of said true-data attribute" at Col.3 line 27.

As per claim 29, Thomas teaches: "methods within said profile object to set a creation time of said true-data attribute" at Col. 14, Table 4.

As per claim 30, Thomas teaches: "methods within said profile object to set a update time of said true-data attribute" Col. 14 Table 4.

As per claim 31, Thomas teaches: "method within said profile object to change expiration time of said true-data attribute" 16 lines 10-20.

As per claim 32, Thomas teaches: "methods within said profile object to delete said true-data attribute with said expiration time beyond a profile service time" at Col. 16 lines 10-20.

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 33, Thomas teaches "methods within said profile objects to set a trigger location of said true data attribute" Col. 9 lines 55-65.

As per claim 34, Thomas teaches "methods within said profile objects to set a binding flag of said true-data attribute" at Col. 24, table 5.

As per claim 35, Thomas teaches "methods within said profile objects to set an assurance level of said true-data attribute" at Col. 25 lines 50-65.

As per claim 36, Thomas teaches: "profile level metadata attribute" at Col. 26 lines 18-25.

As per claim 37, Thomas teaches "methods within said profile objects to set a template resource ID of said profile object" at Col. 31 lines 55-65.

As per claim 38, Thomas teaches "methods within said profile objects to set an object class of said profile object" at Col. 32, Table 6.

As per claim 39, Thomas teaches "methods within said profile objects to set an object ID of said profile object" at Col. 32, Table 6.

As per claim 40, Thomas teaches "methods within said profile objects to set a binding resource ID of said profile object" at Col. 32, Table 6.

As per claim 42, Thomas teaches the following:

- "at least one true data attribute contained in each of said profile objects…" at
 Col. 14 lines 5-65;
- "at least one meta-data attribute associated with said true-data attribute..." at
 Col. 3 lines 20-30;

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 43, Thomas teaches: "said meta-data attribute specifies an access privilege of said true-data attribute" at Col. 13 lines 55-60.

As per claim 44, Thomas teaches: "said meta-data attribute specifies an expiration time of said true-data attribute" at Col. 16 lines 10-20.

As per claim 45, Thomas teaches: "a method for deleting said true-data attribute with said expiration time beyond a profile service time" at Col. 16 lines 10-20.

As per claim 46, Thomas teaches: "said meta-data attribute specifies a binding flag of said true-data attribute" at Col. 24, Table 5.

As per claim 47, Thomas teaches: "including at least one profile level meta-data attribute associated to the profile object" at Col. 26 lines 18-25.

As per claim 50, Thomas teaches: "the tangible media comprises a magnetic disk" at Col. 8 lines 55-65.

As per claim 51, Thomas teaches: "the tangible media comprises an optical disk" at Col. 8 lines 55-65.

As per claim 52, Thomas teaches: "the tangible media comprises a propagating signal" at Col. 4 lines 45-50.

As per claim 53, Thomas teaches: "the tangible media comprises a random access memory devices" at Col. 8 line 58.

Art Unit: 2177

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed Jun 18, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's primary argument.

In response to Applicant's argument that Thomas (U.S 5,838,970) does not teach "associating a meta-data attribute with a stored true-data attribute" and "the meta-data value field describes the associated true-data attribute". On the contrary, Thomas teaches:

- "storing information required to perform object operation in a plurality of changeable repositories accessible to object broker" at Col. 3 lines 23-25, and
- "information identifying the storage location of an object is kept in a location repository reserved for that purpose and updated periodically. This is a form of "indirect addressing" which enables an object to be moved, or one object to be substituted for another, without altering the location reference utilized by client application program that activate the object. Only the contents of the location repository itself need be changed" (Col. 3 lines 32-42)

In this case, the meta-data value field (location repository) describes the associated true-data attribute (location of an object)

Art Unit: 2177

Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-7299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-6606 for regular communications and (703) 308-6606 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Khanh B. Pham Art Unit 2177 July 26, 2001

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100